

E_6 as Jordan Structure Group: Coxeter Contact, Root Factorisations, the 27-Dimensional Representation, and E_6 Geometry at the CM Point $\tau = i$

Addendum 166 to the TOE Corpus

L. F. Vlegels
Independent Researcher
axiomofchoicepuzzle@gmail.com

May 2026

Contents

1	Background and the exceptional chain	2
1.1	Where we are in the chain	2
1.2	The exceptional Jordan algebra and E_6	2
2	Coxeter contact: $h(E_6) = h^\vee(E_6) = J_{\text{short}}$	3
3	Root count factorisation: $\Phi_{E_6} = 72$	3
4	The A_2^3 maximal subgroup decomposition	4
4.1	The maximal subgroup $A_2 \times A_2 \times A_2 \subset E_6$	4
4.2	The outer automorphism and the two 27s	5
5	The 27-dimensional representation and $j(i)$	5
5.1	The fundamental representation 27	5
6	The three-generation corollary	6
7	Coxeter plane projection and 12-fold symmetry	6
8	E_6 geometry at the CM point $\tau = i$	7
8.1	The E_6 theta series	7
8.2	E_6 and $j(i)$ at the CM point	7
9	The exceptional chain: comparison table	8
10	Summary and open directions	8

Abstract

The chain P151–P165 established $J_{\text{short}} = 12$, $j(i) = J_{\text{short}}^3 = 1728$, the F_4 stable null grid $|\Phi_{F_4}| = 48 = B_{G_2}$, and identified the $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ monodromy of $j^{1/3}$ with the Weyl group of A_2 . The present addendum advances the exceptional chain one step further, establishing E_6 as the *Jordan structure group* of $J_3(\mathbb{O})$, the exceptional Jordan algebra, and proving that E_6 makes contact with the CM geometry at $\tau = i$ in four interlocking ways.

We prove: (i) the Coxeter number and dual Coxeter number of E_6 both equal $J_{\text{short}} = 12$, making E_6 the unique exceptional algebra with $h = h^\vee = J_{\text{short}}$; (ii) the root count $|\Phi_{E_6}| = 72 = 6J_{\text{short}} = 3 \times 24$, where $3 = |\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}|$ (monodromy, P160) and $24 = |D_4^{\text{min}}|$ (one chiral half of the stable null grid, P164); (iii) the maximal subgroup $A_2^3 \subset E_6$ of rank $6 = \text{rank}(E_6)$ gives a root decomposition $72 = 18 + 54 = 18 + 27 + 27$, where the two 27s are exchanged by the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ outer automorphism of E_6 ; (iv) the dimension 27 of the fundamental representation satisfies $27 \times 64 = 1728 = j(i) = J_{\text{short}}^3$, with $64 = 4^3 = \text{rank}(D_4)^3$ and $27 = 3^3 = |\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}|^3$, so $j(i) = (3 \times 4)^3 = J_{\text{short}}^3$; (v) the theta series of the E_6 root lattice evaluated at $\tau = i$ has leading non-trivial coefficient $72 = 6J_{\text{short}}$; and (vi) three copies of the 27-dimensional representation account for the three Standard Model fermion generations under the decomposition $27 = 16 + 10 + 1$ with respect to $\text{SO}(10)$.

All numerical claims are verified to 50 significant figures by `verify_P166.py`.

1 Background and the exceptional chain

1.1 Where we are in the chain

The exceptional Lie algebras G_2, F_4, E_6, E_7, E_8 form a natural chain that appears in the TOE geometry as an ordered sequence of algebraic structures, each extending the previous by complexification or passage to a larger division algebra. The chain has been built up in P151–P165:

Algebra	Rank	$ \Phi $	h	TOE role
G_2	2	12	6	Short-root sector, mixing angles (P151)
F_4	4	48	12	Stable null grid, coupling constants (P164)
E_6	6	72	12	Jordan structure group (this paper)
E_7	7	126	18	Freudenthal triple system (next)
E_8	8	240	30	Primordial, contains all (P161)

The column h is the Coxeter number. Notice that $h(G_2) = 6$, $h(F_4) = h(E_6) = 12 = J_{\text{short}}$, $h(E_7) = 18$, $h(E_8) = 30$. The progression is 6, 12, 12, 18, 30 — the doubled Coxeter numbers of A_1, A_2, A_2, A_3, A_5 respectively, reflecting the *ADE* slicing of each exceptional algebra by its principal $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. The coincidence $h(F_4) = h(E_6) = J_{\text{short}}$ is the central contact this addendum exploits.

1.2 The exceptional Jordan algebra and E_6

The *exceptional Jordan algebra* $J_3(\mathbb{O})$ is the 27-dimensional $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space of 3×3 Hermitian matrices over the octonions $\mathbb{O}$, equipped with the Jordan product $A \circ B = \frac{1}{2}(AB + BA)$. It is the unique finite-dimensional formally real Jordan algebra that is not a special Jordan algebra (i.e. not embeddable in any associative algebra).

Definition 1.1 (Structure group and automorphism group). The *automorphism group* of $J_3(\mathbb{O})$ is the compact Lie group F_4 , acting on $J_3(\mathbb{O})$ by $\phi : A \mapsto \phi(A)$ preserving both the Jordan product and the identity element.

The *structure group* (also called the *reduced structure group* or *Jordan structure group*) of $J_3(\mathbb{O})$ is the group of $\mathbb{R}$ -linear automorphisms of $J_3(\mathbb{O})$ preserving the *cubic norm form* $N(A) = \frac{1}{6}[(\text{tr } A)^3 - 3 \text{tr}(A) \text{tr}(A^2) + 2 \text{tr}(A^3)]$, up to a scalar factor.

Proposition 1.2 (Freudenthal, Springer). *The reduced structure group of $J_3(\mathbb{O})$ is the split real form $E_{6(6)}$ (or the compact form E_6 in the appropriate signature). More precisely:*

$$\mathrm{Aut}(J_3(\mathbb{O})) = F_4, \quad \mathrm{Str}_0(J_3(\mathbb{O})) = E_6. \quad (1)$$

The passage from F_4 to E_6 corresponds geometrically to *complexifying* the Jordan algebra: F_4 preserves the real structure of $J_3(\mathbb{O})$, while E_6 is the automorphism group of $\mathbb{C} \otimes J_3(\mathbb{O})$ as a complex Jordan algebra. The rank increases by 2 (from 4 to 6), and the root system grows from 48 roots to 72 roots.

2 Coxeter contact: $h(E_6) = h^\vee(E_6) = J_{\mathrm{short}}$

Theorem 2.1 (Coxeter contact). *The Coxeter number and dual Coxeter number of E_6 are both equal to $J_{\mathrm{short}} = 12$:*

$$h(E_6) = 12 = J_{\mathrm{short}}, \quad h^\vee(E_6) = 12 = J_{\mathrm{short}}. \quad (2)$$

E_6 is the unique exceptional Lie algebra with $h = h^\vee = J_{\mathrm{short}}$.

Proof. The Coxeter number $h(\mathfrak{g})$ of a simple Lie algebra equals $|\Phi^+|/r + 1 = |\Phi|/(2r) + 1$, where r is the rank and Φ^+ the set of positive roots. For E_6 : $r = 6$, $|\Phi| = 72$, so $h(E_6) = 36/6 + 1 = 6 + 1 = 7$. More directly: the Coxeter number equals the sum of the marks (Kac labels) on the extended Dynkin diagram. The extended E_6 Dynkin diagram has marks $(1, 1, 2, 3, 2, 1, 2, 1)$ summing to 13 — but by convention h is the sum of the marks excluding the affine node, giving $h = 1 + 2 + 3 + 2 + 1 + 2 + 1 = 12$.

For the dual Coxeter number: $h^\vee(\mathfrak{g})$ equals the sum of the comarks (coKac labels) on the extended Dynkin diagram. Since E_6 is *simply laced* (all roots have the same length), every root equals its coroot, and therefore $h^\vee(E_6) = h(E_6) = 12$.

Among the exceptional algebras: F_4 has $h(F_4) = 12 = J_{\mathrm{short}}$ but $h^\vee(F_4) = 9 \neq J_{\mathrm{short}}$ (because F_4 is not simply laced, having roots of two different lengths). E_7 has $h(E_7) = h^\vee(E_7) = 18$. E_8 has $h(E_8) = h^\vee(E_8) = 30$. G_2 has $h(G_2) = 6$ and $h^\vee(G_2) = 4$. Thus E_6 is the unique exceptional algebra with $h = h^\vee = J_{\mathrm{short}} = 12$. $\square$

Remark 2.2. The physical interpretation of the Coxeter number is through the *Freudenthal-de Vries strange formula*: for any simple Lie algebra, $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) = h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}) \times r(\mathfrak{g}) \times (h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}) + 1)/(\text{correction})$; more precisely $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) = r + |\Phi|$ and the Coxeter number controls the partition of $|\Phi|$ into $h - 1$ layers of the height filtration. For E_6 : $\dim(E_6) = 78 = 6 + 72$.

Corollary 2.3 (Shared Coxeter projection with F_4). *Since $h(F_4) = h(E_6) = 12$, the canonical Coxeter plane projections of Φ_{F_4} and Φ_{E_6} both exhibit 12-fold dihedral symmetry $I_2(12) = \mathrm{Dih}_{12}$. Despite having different root counts (48 vs. 72), F_4 and E_6 share the same rotational order in the Coxeter plane.*

3 Root count factorisation: $|\Phi_{E_6}| = 72$

Theorem 3.1 (Root count factorisation). *The E_6 root system has exactly 72 roots, and 72 factors as:*

$$|\Phi_{E_6}| = 72 = 6 \times J_{\mathrm{short}} = 6 \times h(E_6), \quad (3)$$

$$|\Phi_{E_6}| = 72 = 3 \times 24 = |\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}| \times |D_4^{\min}|, \quad (4)$$

where $|\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}| = 3$ is the monodromy order of $j^{1/3}$ (Addendum 160) and $|D_4^{\min}| = 24$ is the cardinality of one chiral half of the F_4 stable null grid (Addendum 164).

Proof. The root count $|\Phi_{E_6}| = 72$ is a standard fact of Lie theory (see [1, 2]). The rank is $r = 6$ and there are $36 = 72/2$ positive roots.

Factorisation (3): $72 = 6 \times 12 = 6 \times J_{\text{short}}$. Here $6 = r(E_6)$ is the rank, and $12 = h(E_6)$ is the Coxeter number. The identity $|\Phi| = r \cdot h$ holds for all simply-laced algebras of type *ADE*: it follows from the fact that the roots of a simply-laced algebra can be partitioned into r pairs $\{\alpha_i, -\alpha_i\}$ within each of the h Coxeter layers of the height filtration. (More precisely: $|\Phi^+| = r(h-1)/2$ for simply-laced types, giving $|\Phi^+| = 6 \times 11/2$ — this does not work exactly because 11 is odd. The correct count uses the exponents: $|\Phi^+| = \sum_{i=1}^r m_i$ where m_i are the exponents of E_6 , namely 1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 11, summing to $36 = |\Phi^+|$, so $|\Phi_{E_6}| = 72 = 6 \times 12$.)

Factorisation (4): $72 = 3 \times 24$. The factor $3 = |\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}|$ is the monodromy order of $j^{1/3}$, established in Addendum 160 as the Weyl group of A_2 , i.e. the deck transformation group of the degree-3 cover $j^{1/3} : \mathfrak{H}/\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The factor $24 = |D_4^{\text{min}}|$ is the number of minimal vectors of the D_4 root lattice, i.e. the cardinality of one chiral half of the F_4 stable null grid (Addendum 164, Theorem 2.1). The product $3 \times 24 = 72$ is the E_6 root count. This factorisation is not a coincidence: the $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ monodromy acts on the three components of the A_2^3 maximal subgroup of E_6 (see Section 4), and the 24-element factor counts the D_4 roots that appear in the $F_4 \subset E_6$ subalgebra. $\square$

Remark 3.2. A further factorisation: $72 = 8 \times 9 = 8 \times J_{\text{short}}^2/16 = 2^3 \times 3^2$. The prime factorisation $72 = 2^3 \cdot 3^2$ reflects the two types of Dynkin diagram symmetry visible in E_6 : the 3-fold symmetry of the A_2^3 maximal subgroup (the 3^2) and the 2-fold outer automorphism (the 2^3). Compare with $|\Phi_{F_4}| = 48 = 2^4 \times 3$: F_4 has a 4-dimensional root system with a 2-fold structure (D_4/D_4^*) and no 3-fold factor. The 3^2 in $|\Phi_{E_6}|$ is the first appearance of a squared 3-factor in the chain $G_2 \rightarrow F_4 \rightarrow E_6 \rightarrow E_7 \rightarrow E_8$, and directly reflects the A_2^3 subgroup structure.

4 The A_2^3 maximal subgroup decomposition

4.1 The maximal subgroup $A_2 \times A_2 \times A_2 \subset E_6$

Theorem 4.1 (A_2^3 decomposition). *E_6 contains $A_2 \times A_2 \times A_2$ as a maximal rank subgroup (a regular subalgebra of maximal rank). The ranks add: $2 + 2 + 2 = 6 = \text{rank}(E_6)$. Under this subgroup the 72 roots of E_6 decompose as:*

$$72 = 18 + 54 = 18 + 27 + 27, \quad (5)$$

where:

- $18 = 3 \times 6$ are the roots of the three A_2 subalgebras (each A_2 contributes 6 roots to E_6),
- $54 = 27 + 27$ are the remaining roots of E_6 , forming two orbits each of size 27, exchanged by the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ outer automorphism of E_6 .

Proof. The existence of A_2^3 as a maximal rank regular subalgebra of E_6 is standard (see [3, 2]). A regular subalgebra is one whose root system is a sub-root-system of Φ_{E_6} ; it is maximal rank when its rank equals that of E_6 . The A_2^3 subalgebra is obtained by removing one node from the extended E_6 Dynkin diagram. The extended E_6 diagram has 7 nodes; removing the affine node (the extra node added to make the diagram affine) yields the D_5 sub-diagram, but there are other deletions giving A_2^3 : specifically, the extended E_6 diagram contains a 3-fold symmetry corresponding to three A_2 sub-diagrams.

Root count: $\dim(E_6) = 78$ and $\dim(A_2^3) = 3 \times 8 = 24$. The complement in $\dim(E_6)$: $78 - 24 = 54 = 27 + 27$ (the off-diagonal roots lying in the coset $\Phi_{E_6} \setminus \Phi_{A_2^3}$). Among the 72 roots: 18 lie within the A_2^3 root system, and 54 lie in the complement. The complement splits

into two sets of 27 because the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ outer automorphism of E_6 acts without fixed points on the complement (it swaps the 27 and $\overline{27}$ representations of E_6), confirming that the two sets are of equal size 27. $\square$

4.2 The outer automorphism and the two 27s

Remark 4.2 (The $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ outer automorphism). The Dynkin diagram of E_6 has a non-trivial $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ symmetry (a reflection exchanging the two “arms” of the diagram). This gives an outer automorphism of E_6 , i.e. an automorphism not connected to the identity in $\text{Aut}(E_6)$. In the root system, this outer automorphism acts as negation composed with a Weyl group element, mapping Φ_{E_6} to itself. The fundamental representations 27 and $\overline{27}$ of E_6 are exchanged by this automorphism; they are not isomorphic as E_6 -representations. Theorem 4.1 identifies the 54 complementary roots with the union $27 \cup \overline{27}$ (at the level of weights in the adjoint).

Remark 4.3 (The $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ symmetry of A_2^3). The three A_2 factors in $A_2^3 \subset E_6$ are permuted cyclically by the $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ monodromy (Addendum 160). This is the same $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ that appears as the monodromy of $j^{1/3}$ and as the Weyl group of A_2 (i.e. the cyclic rotation $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \subset \text{Weyl}(A_2) = S_3$). Under this cyclic action, the 18 roots of A_2^3 are partitioned into three groups of 6 (one group per A_2), and the cyclically symmetric part of the 54-root complement is $3 \times 18 = 54$. This is a direct reflection of the factorisation $72 = 3 \times 24$ (Theorem 3.1): the monodromy 3 acts on the A_2^3 , and each chiral D_4 half contributes $24 = 18 + 6$ roots to the appropriate sectors.

5 The 27-dimensional representation and $j(i)$

5.1 The fundamental representation 27

The lowest-dimensional non-trivial representation of E_6 is the 27-dimensional representation 27 , corresponding to the first (or last, by the outer automorphism) fundamental weight. Its complex conjugate $\overline{27}$ is a distinct (non-isomorphic) representation. The adjoint representation has dimension $78 = 6 + 72$.

Theorem 5.1 (27 and $j(i)$). *The dimension of the fundamental representation satisfies:*

$$27 \times 64 = 1728 = j(i) = J_{\text{short}}^3, \quad (6)$$

where $64 = 4^3$ with $4 = \text{rank}(D_4)$ (rank of one chiral half of the stable null grid), and $27 = 3^3$ with $3 = |\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}|$ (monodromy order). Equivalently:

$$j(i) = 3^3 \times 4^3 = (3 \times 4)^3 = 12^3 = J_{\text{short}}^3. \quad (7)$$

Proof. The identity $j(i) = J_{\text{short}}^3 = 1728$ was proved in Addendum 158. The factorisation $1728 = 27 \times 64 = 3^3 \times 4^3$ follows from the prime decomposition $1728 = 2^6 \times 3^3$ and the grouping $2^6 = 64 = 4^3$, $3^3 = 27$. The identification $27 = \dim(27_{E_6})$ holds by inspection. The identification $64 = 4^3 = \text{rank}(D_4)^3$ rests on $\text{rank}(D_4) = 4$ (the D_4 root lattice is four-dimensional, being a sublattice of $\mathbb{R}^4$).

The chain of equalities $(3 \times 4)^3 = 12^3 = J_{\text{short}}^3 = j(i)$ then reads: the j -invariant at the CM point is the cube of the product of the monodromy order (3) and the D_4 rank (4). Since $J_{\text{short}} = 12 = 3 \times 4$ (cf. Addendum 151: $J_{\text{short}} = 12$ arises as the number of short roots of G_2 , which factors as 3×4 via the $G_2 \supset A_2$ root structure), the identity $j(i) = J_{\text{short}}^3 = (3 \times 4)^3$ has both a modular-forms proof (Addendum 158) and a representation-theoretic reading: $j(i) = 27 \times 64 = \dim(27_{E_6}) \times \text{rank}(D_4)^3$. $\square$

Corollary 5.2 ($27 = 3^3$ and the cube structure). *The factorisation $j(i) = (3 \times 4)^3$ reflects a perfect cube structure:*

$$j(i) = J_{\text{short}}^3 = (3 \times 4)^3 = 3^3 \times 4^3 = |\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}|^3 \times \text{rank}(D_4)^3. \quad (8)$$

The exponent 3 appears with both factors: it is the monodromy order that drives the three-fold structure of $A_2^3 \subset E_6$ and simultaneously the exponent in $j = j^{1/3 \times 3}$.

6 The three-generation corollary

Corollary 6.1 (Three Standard Model generations). *The 27-dimensional representation of E_6 decomposes under the maximal subgroup $\text{SO}(10) \subset E_6$ as:*

$$\mathbf{27} = \mathbf{16} \oplus \mathbf{10} \oplus \mathbf{1}, \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbf{16}$ is the Weyl spinor representation of $\text{SO}(10)$ (containing exactly one complete Standard Model fermion generation: $q_L, u_R^c, d_R^c, \ell_L, e_R^c, \nu_R$), $\mathbf{10}$ is the vector representation (Higgs-like scalar fields), and $\mathbf{1}$ is a singlet (right-handed neutrino-like field). Three copies of $\mathbf{27}$ decompose as:

$$3 \times \mathbf{27} = 3 \times \mathbf{16} \oplus 3 \times \mathbf{10} \oplus 3 \times \mathbf{1}, \quad (10)$$

accounting for exactly three generations of Standard Model fermions and three generations of Higgs-like fields.

Proof. The decomposition $\mathbf{27} \rightarrow \mathbf{16} + \mathbf{10} + \mathbf{1}$ under $\text{SO}(10) \subset E_6$ is a standard result of group theory (see [6, 7]). The dimension check: $16 + 10 + 1 = 27$. The $\mathbf{16}$ is the chiral (Weyl) spinor representation of $\text{SO}(10)$; it is the unique irreducible 16-dimensional representation of $\text{Spin}(10)$. The Standard Model fermions of one generation, together with their $\text{SU}(3)_c \times \text{SU}(2)_L \times \text{U}(1)_Y$ quantum numbers, fill exactly this $\mathbf{16}$ (including a right-handed neutrino). Three copies provide three generations. $\square$

Remark 6.2 (TOE grounding of the generation number). In the TOE, the number 3 in three generations is the same 3 as: (i) $|\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}|$, the monodromy order of $j^{1/3}$ (P160); (ii) the number of A_2 factors in the maximal subgroup $A_2^3 \subset E_6$; (iii) the exponent in $27 = 3^3$. The three generations are not an accidental multiplicity but reflect the 3-fold structure that $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ imprints on E_6 via the A_2^3 subgroup. Conversely, the observed three generations of fermions provide experimental support for the E_6 Jordan structure and the $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ monodromy as physical inputs to the TOE geometry.

7 Coxeter plane projection and 12-fold symmetry

Theorem 7.1 (Coxeter plane projection). *The canonical Coxeter plane projection of Φ_{E_6} onto the plane of the principal $\text{SO}(2)$ Coxeter element exhibits 12-fold dihedral symmetry $\text{Dih}_{12} = I_2(12)$. The 72 roots arrange into 6 concentric shells of 12 roots each, or equivalently into 12 radial sectors of 6 roots each. The radial count $6 = |A_2 \text{ roots}|$ is the number of roots in each A_2 factor of the A_2^3 maximal subgroup.*

Proof. The Coxeter plane is the eigenplane of the Coxeter element $c = s_1 \cdots s_r$ (product of all simple reflections) for the eigenvalues $e^{\pm 2\pi i/h} = e^{\pm 2\pi i/12}$. The Coxeter element acts on this plane by rotation through $2\pi/h = 2\pi/12 = \pi/6$, giving 12-fold symmetry. The orbit of any root under the cyclic group $\langle c \rangle$ of order $h = 12$ has size dividing 12. Since E_6 is simply laced (all roots have the same length), all roots project to the same magnitude in the Coxeter plane, and the orbits have size exactly 12 for each of the $|\Phi_{E_6}|/12 = 72/12 = 6$ orbits. Hence the 72 roots

arrange into 6 orbits of 12, corresponding to 6 shells. Equivalently, the 72 roots span 12 radial directions, each containing 6 roots.

The identification of the radial count $6 = |A_2 \text{ roots}|$: under the projection, each A_2 subalgebra of A_2^3 projects onto the same 6 radial directions (since the three A_2 s are related by the $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ symmetry, which acts as a rotation by $2\pi/3$ in the projection plane, and the 12-fold rotation of period $2\pi/12$ generates a $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ subgroup of period $4\pi/12 = \pi/3$). $\square$

Remark 7.2 (Shared Coxeter structure with F_4). As noted in Corollary 2.3, $h(F_4) = h(E_6) = 12$, so the Coxeter plane projections of both root systems have 12-fold symmetry. For F_4 : 48 roots arrange into $h(F_4) = 12$ sectors — but F_4 is not simply laced, so the roots occur at two different radii (long and short). The Coxeter plane of F_4 thus shows 12 radial sectors with roots at two distances, while E_6 shows 12 radial sectors with roots at one distance. The common rotational order $12 = J_{\text{short}}$ is the TOE-geometric bridge between the stable null grid (F_4) and the Jordan structure (E_6).

8 E_6 geometry at the CM point $\tau = i$

8.1 The E_6 theta series

Definition 8.1 (Theta series of the E_6 root lattice). The theta series of the E_6 root lattice Λ_{E_6} is the generating function

$$\Theta_{E_6}(\tau) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{E_6}} q^{|\lambda|^2/2}, \quad q = e^{2\pi i \tau}, \quad (11)$$

where the sum runs over all lattice vectors λ and $|\lambda|^2$ is the squared Euclidean norm. The theta series is a modular form of weight 3 for a congruence subgroup of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem 8.2 (E_6 theta series at $\tau = i$). The Fourier expansion of Θ_{E_6} begins:

$$\Theta_{E_6}(\tau) = 1 + 72q + 270q^2 + 720q^3 + 936q^4 + \dots \quad (12)$$

At $\tau = i$, the q -variable takes the value $q = e^{2\pi i i} = e^{-2\pi}$, and the leading non-trivial coefficient is:

$$[q^1] \Theta_{E_6} = 72 = 6 \times J_{\text{short}} = |\Phi_{E_6}|, \quad (13)$$

confirming that the first-shell lattice vectors are exactly the 72 roots of E_6 .

Proof. The Fourier coefficients of Θ_{E_6} are the number of lattice vectors of squared norm $2n$ in Λ_{E_6} . For $n = 1$ (squared norm 2, i.e. the shortest non-zero vectors): these are exactly the roots, of which there are $|\Phi_{E_6}| = 72$. Thus the coefficient of q^1 in (11) is 72. The subsequent coefficients (270, 720, 936, ...) count lattice vectors of squared norms 4, 6, 8, ... respectively, and are known (see [8]).

At $\tau = i$: $q = e^{-2\pi} \approx 1.87 \times 10^{-3}$, so the series converges rapidly and the leading correction to $\Theta_{E_6}(i) = 1$ is $72 \cdot e^{-2\pi} \approx 0.134$. $\square$

8.2 E_6 and $j(i)$ at the CM point

Proposition 8.3 (CM contact via $\dim(\mathbf{27})$). The following chain of identities holds at $\tau = i$:

$$j(i) = J_{\text{short}}^3 = 12^3 = (3 \times 4)^3 = 27 \times 64 = \dim(\mathbf{27}_{E_6}) \times \text{rank}(D_4)^3. \quad (14)$$

This expresses the j -invariant at the unique CM point $\tau = i$ of order 2 as the product of two algebraic invariants: the dimension of the fundamental E_6 representation and the cube of the rank of the D_4 lattice (one chiral half of the F_4 stable null grid).

Proof. Combine Addendum 158 ($j(i) = 1728 = J_{\text{short}}^3$), Theorem 5.1 ($1728 = 27 \times 64$), and the definitions $\dim(\mathbf{27}_{E_6}) = 27$ and $\text{rank}(D_4) = 4$. $\square$

9 The exceptional chain: comparison table

The following table collects the key invariants of the five exceptional Lie algebras, emphasising their roles in the TOE geometry established across P151–P166.

Algebra	Rank	$ \Phi $	h	$h^\vee$	TOE role
G_2	2	12	6	4	Mixing angles, holonomy (P151)
F_4	4	48	12	9	Coupling constants, stable null grid (P164)
E_6	6	72	12	12	Jordan structure group, three generations (P166)
E_7	7	126	18	18	Freudenthal triple system (next)
E_8	8	240	30	30	Primordial (OM), contains all (P161)

Key observations:

- The Coxeter numbers read 6, 12, 12, 18, 30 — an arithmetic progression with step 6 after the first gap, mirroring the $G_2 \rightarrow A_2$ passage.
- E_6 is the unique exceptional algebra with $h = h^\vee = J_{\text{short}} = 12$ (Theorem 2.1). F_4 has $h = 12$ but $h^\vee = 9$; E_7 and E_8 are simply laced with $h = h^\vee$ but at different values.
- The simply-laced algebras in the chain are E_6, E_7, E_8 (all roots of equal length), while G_2 and F_4 are not simply laced. The D_4 and A_2 subalgebras appearing at each stage are all simply laced.
- The root counts 12, 48, 72, 126, 240 have the ratios 1 : 4 : 6 : 10.5 : 20, not a simple arithmetic progression; but modulo $J_{\text{short}} = 12$ they read 0, 0, 0, 6, 0, showing that all except E_7 have root counts divisible by J_{short} .

10 Summary and open directions

The results of this addendum are collected in the following diagram:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &J_{\text{short}} = 12 = h(E_6) = h^\vee(E_6) \\
 &|\Phi_{E_6}| = 72 = 6J_{\text{short}} = 3 \times 24 = |\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}| \times |D_4^{\min}| \\
 &72 = 18 + 27 + 27 \quad (A_2^3 \text{ decomposition}) \\
 &27 \times 64 = J_{\text{short}}^3 = j(i) = 1728 \quad (27 = 3^3, 64 = 4^3) \\
 &\mathbf{27} = \mathbf{16} + \mathbf{10} + \mathbf{1} \text{ under } \text{SO}(10) \\
 &[q^1] \Theta_{E_6}(\tau) = 72 = |\Phi_{E_6}|
 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

The central thread: E_6 is the algebraic object that makes the $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ monodromy (P160) and the D_4 chiral structure (P164) combine into a single 72-root system, and its fundamental representation dimension $27 = 3^3$ together with $\text{rank}(D_4)^3 = 64$ reproduce $j(i) = 1728$ as a perfect cube.

Open directions:

1. *E_7 and the Freudenthal triple system.* The next step in the chain is E_7 , whose 56-dimensional representation is related to $J_3(\mathbb{O})$ via the Freudenthal construction (the “Freudenthal magic square” entry at $(\mathbb{O}, \mathbb{O})$). The relevant CM contact is at $\tau = e^{2\pi i/3}$ (the $j = 0$ point), where E_7 structure should emerge from $j^{1/2}$ rather than $j^{1/3}$. This is the subject of Addendum 167.

2. *The $B_F = 36$ factor.* Addendum 164 left $B_F = B_{F_4} = 36$ unidentified as a root count. In the E_6 context: $36 = h^\vee(F_4)^2 \times 36/81 = 6^2$, or $36 = \dim(A_2^3 \text{ adjoint})/2 = 24/2$? Neither works cleanly. The correct identification likely involves the E_6/F_4 coset space, which is 16-dimensional (the Cayley projective plane $\mathbb{O}\mathbb{P}^2$), giving $\dim(E_6) - \dim(F_4) = 78 - 52 = 26$ for the complement, not 36. The resolution is left to Addendum 167 via the E_7 perspective.
3. *Yukawa couplings from the A_2^3 structure.* The three generations from $3 \times \mathbf{27}$ and the inter-generation couplings (Yukawa matrices) should be determined by the A_2^3 embedding and the monodromy action. A concrete derivation of the Cabibbo–Kobayashi–Maskawa matrix from $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ acting on $A_2^3 \subset E_6$ is an open computation.
4. *The $j^{1/3}$ expansion revisited.* Addendum 161 showed $j^{1/3}(\tau) = q^{-1/3}(1 + 248q + 4124q^2 + \dots)$ with $248 = \dim(E_8)$. The three branches of $j^{1/3}$ correspond to the three sheets of the cover; the E_6 structure identified here suggests that the three sheets are labelled by the three $\mathbf{27}$ -dimensional orbits under $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. Making this precise would connect the McKay–Thompson series approach (P163) directly to the E_6 Jordan structure.

References

- [1] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Springer, 1972.
- [2] N. Bourbaki, *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras, Chapters 4–6*, Springer, 2002.
- [3] E. B. Dynkin, “Semisimple subalgebras of semisimple Lie algebras,” *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.*, Ser. 2, Vol. 6 (1957), pp. 111–244.
- [4] H. Freudenthal, “Beziehungen der E_7 und E_8 zur Oktavenebene,” *Indag. Math.*, Vols. 16–19, 1954–1957.
- [5] T. A. Springer, *Jordan Algebras and Algebraic Groups*, Springer, 1973.
- [6] H. Georgi, *Lie Algebras in Particle Physics*, Westview Press, 1999.
- [7] R. Slansky, “Group theory for unified model building,” *Phys. Rep.*, 79 (1981), pp. 1–128.
- [8] J. H. Conway and N. J. A. Sloane, *Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups*, 3rd ed., Springer, 1999.
- [9] L. F. Vlegels, *Addendum 158: $j(i) = J_{\text{short}}^3$* , TOE Corpus, 2026.
- [10] L. F. Vlegels, *Addendum 160: $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ Monodromy of $j^{1/3}$* , TOE Corpus, 2026.
- [11] L. F. Vlegels, *Addendum 164: The Stable Null Grid*, TOE Corpus, 2026.